(1) 345 - Oxfe	ord St, Moore Park R	d, Queen St : Paddington	(CIE) - RAND				
Show Configure	Options			9/10/2009 9:03:32 AM			
Find Monitor	Subsystem Strategic M	Generator site	Cam 173, Peter Rusii (1)/Der	is Wood (1)			
- 225	Alams SI NF SY	RAND Subsystem 10 Degree of saturatio		SCATS 6 (
Split plan 2	Mastedink	System plan 2	Master subsystem	Cycle generator 7			
Offset plan 1	Offset 0, 0 D	Link plan 1	Link none	Active Ink none			
Special facilities 2	23,6	Cycle plan none	Required cycle time 129				
XSF		TCS 345		18/1/			
243 249 29	Active plan	MORINEYCH	ALL				
B 31% 37		RAND SS=10	200 000 000				
C 27% 32		A DHASPS		* ~~~~			
D 18% 22	120	in the last					
		10		1 0 5000			
1 2		A	h the				
		Nº 16					
(A) 11 18	Site operation	8 19 1 8 4		<u>₩-=</u> :			
B 31 0		21 11	A SALE.				
		s c 1 \$16	R. A.				
0 2010	120	100 11					
	a	NI 11	PI - C				
	~	A TOL O WILCOM					
Active offset B.D.	Ste Falback 0	- NI		<u> </u>			
Nec	Mailadel	X					
m33	mapresite.						

25.08.2022 Rogan Parker ATOC

Overview

With 1000+ signalised intersections and mid-block crossings, we are having issues with the time it takes to replace or repair loop faults. At some intersections we have loop faults that are unresolved after 12 months.

Pedestrian reintroduction is a standard treatment at fully protected pedestrian crossings, but we aren't managing it optimally. Our traditional approach does not maximise the service to pedestrians.

Goals

- Look at what we have done in the past.
- Explain what we are now doing.
- Understand what others in the rest of NZ are doing, and share that knowledge.

Topics

- Management of loop faults
- Pedestrian reintroduction and the XSF flag
- Measuring pedestrian delay
- Pedestrian inputs for fault diagnosis

Loop Faults case study



Ti Rakau Dr / Chevis Place / Edgewater Dr

Signalised crossroads on Ti Rakau Drive. Intersection will be changing as part of Ti Rakau Dr traffic flow improvements and signalisation will be removed. Sidestreets will be priority control left in / left out.

Chevis Place is a cul-de-sac with approx 22 houses so is a very minor road. The Chevis Place detector loop has been faulty in excess of 12 months and is a low priority to fix given the future changes.

Previously we would manage the fault through SCATS using fixed split plans in Action Lists triggered by the region Scheduler. This means that the intersection is essential running in Masterlink 24/7.

Recent approach

Variation routine 37 (composite test) contains a faulty detector test which we are now using to try a different approach. VR37 does the following:

- Test for a faulty loop
- Test for non-stretch phase demands
- Run intersection in Masterlink when no other demands
- Run intersection in Master isolated when other demands
- Half cycle the Chevis Place phase.

Note: there is no pedestrian crossing in The Chevis Place exit phase. Had there been, then VR37 could also test for no demand for the crossing.

The outcome is that we have a hybrid operational state. When busy, the intersection operates in Masterlink and the effect of the fault is mitigated through normal methods (Min Green only and ½ cycling). When quiet the intersection operates as Masterlink when no other demands but reverts to Master isolated as soon as another demand is detected.

Applications

- Faulty loops
- Low use alarms (v. minor roads, school driveways)
- Bus priority

Pedestrian reintroduction using the XSF flag

Legacy approach

Pedestrian reintroduction is used on most fully protected stretch phase pedestrian crossings in Auckland. We use it in non-stretch approaches where there are long phase times, or where there is the possibility of the phase being the stretch under certain traffic conditions. Pedestrian reintroduction allows a pedestrian crossing to start at any time during a specified phase

In masterlink, we use an XSF flag to inhibit the pedestrian reintroduction. This is done at a time during the phase when there is no longer sufficient time for the pedestrian crossing to complete prior to the next phase

Phase				
XSF flag	XSF OFF	XSF ON (Time = Walk + Clearances)		
Pedestrian crossing can start				

Issue

While this works well when the phase is followed by another phase where the same pedestrian crossing can not run, there are lost pedestrian opportunities when the intersection is either:

- Resting in the stretch phase
- Time gaining when the next phase is skipped
- Following phase allows the same pedestrian crossing to introduce.

Approaches to a solution

The solution can either be tackled at a software level, or at an operational level. My proposal does not look into the software side approach due to lack of expertise in that field. Suffice it to say that the issue can be improved within the software. It makes sense to approach from an operational level as the rewrite of existing software is a long term process.

To fix the resting intersection issue is fairly simple. Conditions can be added to the XSF variation routine to only activate the flag when there is a demand for another phase. This can be done in a single variation routine on intersections with 5 or less phases: use VR37 to test for a demand for each phase using boolean OR operator, or use VR37 to test for no demand for each other phase using boolean AND operator.

🍓 Site Graphics	_	NTHEST - Site 1406 Configuration					
TCS 1406	1405	Site selection View 1406 Solot no.					
A C		View Jave View all Close Site Data Options Intergreens Pedestrian Movements Split Plans Offset Plans Variations Volumes Variation Image: Composite Plans Variations Volumes > - VAR1: VR35 - Incremental S VR37 - Composite Test VR Image: Commental Skip Comment > - VAR2: VR37 - Master Isolat Skip Comment Skip Comment > - VAR3: VR3 - Test for a phas - Skip Comment > - VAR5: VR45 - Send XSF bas Phase demand Feature to test #1 2 Index 0 Reference (site, SS, SI, SA, LK) ON ON v Type of test 0 Value, Type, Operator OR Connecting operator Phase demand v Feature to test #1 3 Index 1 1					
D	1506	0 Reference (site, SS, SI, SA, LK) ON ~ C >					

The second issue of the XSF flag during a phase that is gaining time from the undemanded next phase is complex and I do not have a proposed solution.

The third issue can be solved operationally but it requires a set of variations and the use of a dummy flag. VR13 is a singular test for a next phase and cannot test for multiple next phases. Using multiple VR13s with the OR operator means that you cannot then add other tests to that logic. So a possible solution is to use multiple VR13s to trigger a dummy flag and then test for that dummy flag in the body of the XSF reintroduction flag logic.

Measuring pedestrian delay

We currently do not have an easy way of measuring pedestrian delay. SCATS collects pedestrian demand data but it is not extractable in a viewable format. We have raised the issue with SCATS and they are looking into providing the data in future versions. Currently the data can be viewed in the Event log in SCATS history but it requires exporting and manipulation to get any usable data.

A simple site workaround is to use a variation routine to test for a pedestrian demand and then set a dummy XSF Flag while the demand is there. This flag can then be viewed in Statistics in SCATS history



🕖 SCATS History Vie	wer			- 🗆 ×							
File Edit Tools H	elp										~
Site number: 2414	✓ 😽 Filter				SCATS History Viewer				_	Ш	×
Events Dhases Sin	al groups Ovelas Timeline S	tesistics.			File Edit Tools Help						
Events Phases Sig	nai groups Cycles Timeline S	tatistics			Site number: 2414 ~	😪 Filter	1				
Filtered timeline for si	te 2414. Marker at Monday, 22 Aug	gust 2022, 8:06:36 AM NZST: <a> phase, mode:	=?, split plan ?, offset plan ?, green SGs=[1,2]	Kana Strategie S			-				
O AM	NZST	8:10:00 AM NZST	8:15:00 AM NZST	^	Events Phases Signal g	roups Cycles	5 Timeline	Statistics			
					Statistics for site 2414					👌 Exp	port
Phase	362 <mark>B</mark> <a>	127 <mark>8 <</mark> A>	395 <mark>3 <</mark> A>	11 Phase	Data item	Frequency	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Total	
56.1	356 26	123 24	289 26	113 56 1	Unknown phase	1	28	28	28	28	
	550 20				A phase	265	17	24336	305	81033	
5G 2	356 26	123 24	389 26	113 SG 2	B phase	264	18	23	20	5338	
5G 3	36815	13215	40015	12 SG 3	Nominal cycle length	79	50	89	59	4720	
					Active cycle length	79	50	89	59	4720	
5G 4	3//	140	409	13 SG 4	Actual cycle	266	28	24356	324	86399	
					Signal group 1	264	11	7441	208	55131	
XSF 11	373	140	395 20	128 XSF 11	Signal group 2	264	11	7441	208	55131	
					Signal group 3	264	13	18	15	4053	
Ped 1	377	140	409	13 Ped 1	Signal group 4	264	4	8	6	1644	
					XSF 11	264	4	38	10	2644	
					Pedestrian movement 1	264	2	8	5	1550	
<				>							
Connected to SCA	S Central Manager File opened	I: J:\History\CENTRA_20220822.hst			Connected to SCATS Ce	ntral Manager	File oper	ned: J:\Histon	CENTRA	202;	

The data from this flag can then be viewed in Timeline & Statistics in Scats History

The data only measures the longest wait - ie from the first push of the button to the green man. It does not measure an average delay where there are multiple pedestrians arriving during the cycle, but it does give a reasonable insight into the time level of service for pedestrians.

This may also be used for 3 aspect bicycle crossings, but requires slightly different logic. XSF flag turned on by push button and then switched off by green aspect. We are currently measuring delays at a cycle crossing but are yet to analyse any data from the site.

Pedestrian inputs for fault diagnosis

Legacy

At Barnes dance of exclusive pedestrian crossings we currently use a single input into the controller for all the pedestrian push buttons. On a 4 leg intersection this can be 8 push buttons connected to one input.

When a button faults we have no way of narrowing down which is the faulty button so we dispatch a contractor and expect them to identify the faulty push button unit and repair.

For new sites, we suggest splitting the push buttons into multiple inputs so we can narrow the fault down to a smaller number of buttons. We propose to number each corner separately, so in the Customs / Albert example, there are 4 inputs.

